

Generalization of an Inequality of Gronwall-Reid

DEAN S. CLARK

*Department of Mathematics, University of Rhode Island,
Kingston, Rhode Island 02881, U.S.A.*

Communicated by Oved Shisha

Received June 17, 1985; revised April 11, 1986

The inequality of Gronwall-Reid [1, 3] (with inspiration from Peano [2]) is a multi-purpose integral inequality most frequently used in differential equations. Here we give a new proof whose value lies in its easy generalization to the case where the Riemann differential “ dt ” is replaced by a positive measure. In particular, relation (3), below, shows that the classical Gronwall inequality is a simplest case of this more general type of inequality. We comment on the applications after stating our theorems.

THEOREM 1 (Peano-Gronwall-Reid). *Let f , α , and β be continuous real-valued functions defined on $[t_0, +\infty)$, $\beta \geq 0$. Suppose that for all $t \geq t_0$*

$$f(t) \leq \alpha(t) + \int_{t_0}^t \beta(s) f(s) ds. \tag{1a}$$

For $T > t_0$ let $S(t_0, T) = \{t \text{ where } f(t) \exp(-\int_{t_0}^t \beta(s) ds) \text{ is maximized in } [t_0, T]\}$. Then,

$$f(t) \leq \alpha^* \exp\left(\int_{t_0}^t \beta(s) ds\right), \quad t_0 \leq t \leq T, \tag{1b}$$

where $\alpha^* = \min\{\alpha(\theta) : \theta \in S(t_0, T)\}$.

Proof. Multiply across (1a) by $\exp(-\int_{t_0}^t \beta(\sigma) d\sigma)$ and set $g(t) = f(t) \exp(-\int_{t_0}^t \beta(\sigma) d\sigma)$. We get

$$g(t) \leq \alpha(t) \exp\left(-\int_{t_0}^t \beta(\sigma) d\sigma\right) + \int_{t_0}^t g(s) d\left\{\exp\left(-\int_s^t \beta(\sigma) d\sigma\right)\right\}, \quad t_0 \leq t. \tag{2}$$

To relate to our forthcoming generalizations we abbreviate (2) as

$$g(t) \leq \bar{\alpha}(t) + \varepsilon \int_{t_0}^t g(s) d\mu_\lambda, \quad \varepsilon = 1. \tag{3}$$

The multi-index λ in (3) is used to emphasize the dependence of the Stieltjes differentials $d\mu_\lambda$ on t and the function β . Observe that the μ_λ induce degenerate probability measures on $[t_0, +\infty)$.

For any $\theta \in S(t_0, T)$

$$g(t) \leq \bar{\alpha}(t) + g(\theta) \int_{t_0}^t d\mu_\lambda$$

is a valid inequality for all t in $[t_0, T]$. In particular, it holds at any θ^* which minimizes $\alpha(\cdot)$ on $S(t_0, T)$. Setting $t = \theta^*$ and integrating,

$$g(\theta^*) \leq \bar{\alpha}(\theta^*) + g(\theta^*) \left(1 - \exp \left(- \int_{t_0}^{\theta^*} \beta(\sigma) d\sigma \right) \right).$$

After cancellations we get $g(t) \leq g(\theta^*) \leq \alpha(\theta^*)$, $t_0 \leq t \leq T$, which is (1b).

THEOREM 2. *Let α, f , and $\beta_j, j = 1, \dots, n$, be nonnegative functions defined on $[t_0, +\infty)$ which are bounded on finite intervals, f and the β_j measurable with respect to P an arbitrary probability measure on $[t_0, +\infty)$. Suppose that*

$$f(t) \leq \alpha(t) + \sum_{j=1}^n \int_{t_0}^t \beta_j(s) f^{q_j}(s) dP + \varepsilon \int_{t_0}^t f(s) dP, \quad t_0 \leq t, \quad (4)$$

where $0 \leq q_j \leq \frac{1}{2}$ and $0 < \varepsilon < 1$. Then, for any $T > t_0$, there is $\theta(t_0, T) \in [t_0, T]$ such that

$$f(t) \leq \kappa_1 \overline{\lim}_{s \rightarrow \theta} \alpha(s) + \kappa_2 \sum_{j=1}^n \int_{t_0}^{\theta^+} \beta_j^{p_j}(s) dP, \quad t_0 \leq t \leq T, \quad (5)$$

where $\kappa_1 = 2/(1 - \varepsilon)$, $\kappa_2 = 4n/(1 - \varepsilon)^2$, and $p_j = 1/(1 - q_j)$, $j = 1, \dots, n$.

THEOREM 3. *Let $\mu_{\lambda_j}, j = 1, \dots, n$, denote finite measures on $[t_0, +\infty)$ and let f be a nonnegative function integrable on finite intervals with respect to every μ_{λ_j} . Suppose that*

$$f(t) \leq \alpha + \beta \sum_{j=1}^m \int_{t_0}^t f^{q_j}(s) d\mu_{\lambda_j} + \varepsilon \sum_{j=m+1}^n \int_{t_0}^t f(s) d\mu_{\lambda_j}, \quad t_0 \leq t, \quad (6)$$

where $\alpha, \beta \geq 0$ are constants and $0 \leq q_j \leq \frac{1}{2}$. If $\mu_{\lambda_j}[t_0, +\infty) \leq M < +\infty$ for all multi-index values λ_j , and $0 < \varepsilon < 1/nM$, then

$$f(t) \leq \frac{\alpha + \beta cmM}{1 - nM(\varepsilon + \beta c^{-1})}, \quad t_0 \leq t, \quad (7)$$

where $c \geq 1$ is any number greater than $\beta nM/(1 - \varepsilon nM)$.

Proof of Theorem 2. The proof of Theorem 3 is analogous. Use the well known inequality $xy \leq cx^p + (1/c)y^q$ for $x, y \geq 0$, $c \geq 1$, $q \geq 2$, and $1/p + 1/q = 1$ in (4) on $\beta_j(s)f^{q_j}(s)$ with $q = 1/q_j$, $p = 1/(1 - q_j)$. As a result,

$$f(t) \leq \alpha(t) + c \sum_{j=1}^n \int_{t_0}^t \beta_j^{p_j}(s) dP + \left(\frac{n}{c} + \varepsilon\right) \int_{t_0}^t f(s) dP. \quad (8)$$

Take $\theta \in [t_0, T]$ so that $\overline{\lim}_{s \rightarrow \theta} f(s) = \sup_{t_0 \leq s \leq T} f(s)$. Applying the lim sup across (8) as $t \rightarrow \theta$ and consolidating terms gives (5), with $\kappa_1(c) = 1/(1 - \varepsilon - nc^{-1})$ and $\kappa_2(c) = c\kappa_1(c)$, where c is any number greater than $n/(1 - \varepsilon)$. The θ^+ denotes the limit from the right. Minimizing $\kappa_2(c)$ with respect to c gives the final form of (5). The same minimization can be performed on the r.h.s. of (7).

Remark on the Applications. We have used Theorem 3 effectively in the stability analysis of the system of difference equations $X_{n+1} = X_n + E_n F_n(X_n, \Phi_n)$ with perturbations Φ_n , where the E_n are diagonal matrices with positive terms along the diagonal. Such systems model general recursive adjustment procedures under uncertainty when $\{\Phi_n\}_{n=0}^\infty$ is a stochastic process (see [4]).

REFERENCES

1. T. H. GRONWALL, Note on the derivatives with respect to a parameter of the solutions of a system of differential equations, *Ann. of Math.* **20**, No. 2 (1919), 292–296.
2. G. PEANO, Sull' integrabilita delle equazioni differenziali del primo ordine, *Atti R. Accad. Sci. Torino* **21** (1885–1886), 677–685.
3. W. T. REID, Properties of solutions of an infinite system of ordinary linear differential equations of the first order with auxiliary boundary conditions (Dissertation, University of Texas, 1929), *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **32** (1930), 284–318.
4. D. S. CLARK, Stability in a system of difference equations, *Quart. Appl. Math.* **45** (1987), 441–453.